

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 MATHEW COLLETT,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 MASON COUNTY, et al.,
15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:23-CV-5654-TMC-DWC
ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE

15 On October 10, 2024, Plaintiff Mathew Collett filed Motions to Compel Discovery
16 against Defendants Mason County, Kevin Hansen, Shane Schroneberg, Randy Newell, Phil
17 Harris, and Paula Blush (collectively “County Defendants”) and Defendants Healthcare Delivery
18 Systems Inc, Shannon Slack, and Nurse Bree (collectively “HDS Defendants”). Dkts. 149, 151.
19 Both Motions qualify as “21-Day Motions” under this Court’s local rules. Local Rules W.D.
20 Wash., LCR 7(d)(3). Thus, the deadline to respond in opposition was “no later than 15 days after
21 the filing date of the motion[s]” on October 25, 2024. *Id.* The County Defendants and HDS
22 Defendants did not file responses until October 30, 2024. Dkts. 157, 159.

1 The County Defendants and HDS Defendants responses are untimely, and they did not
2 request relief from the briefing deadlines established by this Court's local rules. The Court will
3 consider the responses but gives Plaintiff an extension of time to reply. The deadline for Plaintiff
4 to file his optional replies is November 6, 2024. The Clerk is directed to re-note Plaintiff's
5 Motions to Compel Discovery (Dkts. 149, 151) for consideration on that date.

6 Defendants are warned that failure to comply with the local rules may result in sanctions,
7 including but not limited to striking future noncompliant filings. *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash.,
8 LCR 11(c).

9 Dated this 31st day of October, 2024.

David W. Christel
David W. Christel
United States Magistrate Judge